arXiv:1412.4876v2 [math.CA] 7 Nov 2016

EXISTENCE AND NON-EXISTENCE OF EXTREMIZERS FOR k-PLANE TRANSFORM INEQUALITIES.

ALEXIS DROUOT

Abstract. We provide sharp forms of k-plane transform inequalities on the d-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ and the d-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^d$. In particular, we prove that extremizers do not exist for $\mathbb{H}^d$. This work is a natural extension of previous results for the k-plane transform on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

1. Introduction

In this note, we study sharp inequalities associated with the k-plane transform on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^d$, defined as follows. Let $\mathbb{M}^d_\nu,\ \nu \in \{0,+,-\}$ be the Riemannian manifold equal to $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $\nu = 0$, the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ for $\nu = +$, and the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^d$ for $\nu = -$. The spaces $\mathbb{M}^d_\pm$ can be seen as subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$:

$$\mathbb{M}^d_\pm = \{(\zeta', \zeta_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R},\ |\zeta_{d+1}|^2 \pm |\zeta'|^2 = 1\}.$$

A k-plane in $\mathbb{M}^d_\pm$ is defined as the intersection of a $k+1$ plane through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with $\mathbb{M}^d_\pm$. Let $\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu)$ be the set of k-planes in $\mathbb{M}^d_\nu$. The k-plane transform on $\mathbb{M}^d_\nu$ is the operator given by

$$\mathscr{R}_\nu f(\pi) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_\pi f d\lambda_\pi, \qquad f \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu), \qquad \pi \in \mathscr{M}_\nu(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu),$$

where $d\lambda_\pi$ is the measure corresponding to the induced Riemannian metric on π.

The k-plane transform on non-flat manifolds was introduced in Helgason [He84]. We refer to Berenstein–Casadio–Kurusa [BCT97] and Quinto [Qu08] for range characterization and support theorems, Rubin [Ru02] for pointwise inversion formula in the hyperbolic case, and Berenstein–Rubin [BR04] for Radon transforms of functions defined almost everywhere. In this paper, we focus on sharp $L^p(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu)$ to $L^q(\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu))$ inequalities. Modulo a multiplicative constant, there exists a unique measure on $\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu)$ that is invariant under the group of isometries of $\mathbb{M}^d_k$. This group is the Gallilean group for the flat case, the orthogonal group $O(d+1)$ for the spherical case, and the Lorentz group $O(d,1)$ for the hyperbolic case.

Christ [Chr11b] showed that $\mathscr{R}_\nu$ is continuous from $L^p(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu)$ to $L^q(\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu))$, where

$$p \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d+1}{k+1}, \quad q \overset{\text{def}}{=} d+1. \tag{1.1}$$

The associated sharp inequalities – whose group of symmetries is the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ – was studied in Christ [Chr14], Drouot [Dro14] and Flock [Fl16]. The resulting theorem is:

Theorem 1. [Chr14, Dro14, Fl16] *For p, q given by (1.1), $0 \neq f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\frac{|\mathscr{R}_0 f|_q}{|f|_p} \leq A_0, \quad A_0 = \frac{|\mathscr{R}_0 h_0|_q}{|h_0|_p}, \quad h_0(x) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Equality in (1.2) is realized if and only if

$$f \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto C h_0(Lx), \ L \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ C \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Christ [Chr14] first showed Theorem 1 when $k = d-1$. We derived later the sharp constant for any values of k in [Dro14]. Flock [Fl16] completed the characterization by showing the uniqueness part of the statement.

Here we extend Theorem 1 to the spherical and hyperbolic k-plane transform.

Theorem 2. *For p, q given by (1.1), $0 \neq f \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^d)$,*

$$\frac{|\mathscr{R}_+ f|_q}{|f|_p} \leq A_+, \quad A_+ = \frac{|\mathscr{R}_+ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S}^d}|_q}{|\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{S}^d}|_p},$$

with equality realized if and only if

$$f \in \left\{ \omega = (\omega', \omega_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^d \mapsto C \left(|\omega_{d+1}|^2 + |L\omega'|^2 \right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}, \ L \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ C \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3. *For p, q given by (1.1), $0 \neq f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^d)$,*

$$\frac{|\mathscr{R}_- f|_q}{|f|_p} \leq A_-, \quad A_- = \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \frac{|\mathscr{R}_- h_\lambda|_q}{|h_\lambda|_p}, \quad h_\lambda(\zeta) = \lambda^{d/p} \left(|\zeta_{d+1}|^2 + \lambda^2 |\zeta'|^2 \right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}. \tag{1.3}$$

Equality in (1.3) is never realized.

The proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 combine ideas of Drury [Dru89] with the work on sharp forms of the k-plane transform on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Drury's work contains a very enlightening interpretation on the correspondance between the k-plane transform on the plane and on the sphere. His ideas can be transfered to the case of the hyperbolic plane. It is then at first a bit surprising that extremizers do not exist in this case, but this phenomena is standard while considering similar inequalities on $\mathbb{H}^d$ – see Liu [Li13] for work on the Sobolev inequality, and Banica–Duyckaerts [BD15] for connections and blow-up results in the context of non-linear Schrödinger equations on $\mathbb{H}^d$.

Recently Chen [Che16] showed the following multilinear inequality on $\mathbb{M}^d_\nu$: for any $\nu \in \{0, \pm\}$, $\tilde{p} \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$f_j \in L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} |f_j|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{M}^d_\nu)} \leq C \sup_{\zeta_j \in \mathbb{M}^d_\nu} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{d+1} f_j(\zeta_j) \right) \cdot |\det(\zeta_1, ..., \zeta_{d+1})|^{\frac{d+1}{\tilde{p}}}. \tag{1.4}$$

This inequality is closely related to (1.2) thanks to Drury's identity (3.8). Chen derived the best constant in (1.4) for $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{S}^d$, together with the value of some extremizers.

The sharp form of (1.4) in the case of $\mathbb{H}^d$ remains untreated. In accordance with Theorem 3, we conjecture that (1.4) on $\mathbb{H}^d$ admits no extremizers, and that a limit similar to (1.3) yields the best constant. The lacking ingredient is a full characterization of extremizing functions for Chen's inequality on $\mathbb{R}^d$, or at least a (rather weak) inverse result showing that if f extremizes (1.4) on $\mathbb{R}^d$, then f has full support. This remark will become clearer to the reader after looking at the proof of Theorem 3.

Every other inequality satisfied by $\mathscr{R}_\nu$ on unweighted Lebesgue spaces can be obtained by interpolating the trivial $L^1 \to L^1$ bound with the $L^p \to L^q$ bound, where p, q are given by (1.1). Baernstein and Loss [BL97] made some conjectures about their sharp forms, proved in [Chr14, Dro14] in the endpoint cases. Proving them for all exponents would be a spectacular achievement – similar questions remain open in the simpler case of the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality. In the case of the Radon transform ($k = d-1$), Christ [Chr11b, Chr14] showed a stable form of (1.1). This was extended to the k-plane transform in [Dro15], with the extra assumption that the function f is radial. Removing this restriction is an ambitious project, because of the large size of the group of symmetries $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The first step should be an analysis of sets which have an overall large incidence with k-planes, in the spirit of Christ [Chr11a].

Theorems 2 and 3 will follow from Proposition 1 below. Define the projection $p_\pm : \mathbb{M}^d_\pm \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by $p_\pm(\zeta', \zeta_{d+1}) = -\zeta'/\zeta_{d+1}$ – a geometric interpretation is provided in Figure 1. The pullback operator $p_\pm^*$ induces an operator $P_\pm$ given by

$$P_\pm f(\zeta) = p_\pm^*(\langle x \rangle_\pm^{k+1} f)(\zeta), \qquad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\langle x \rangle_\pm = \sqrt{1 \pm |x|^2}$.

Proposition 1. *After possibly multiplying the measures on $\mathbb{M}^d_\pm$ by a constant,*

(i) For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|P_+ f|_p = |f|_p, \quad |\mathscr{R}_+ P_+ f|_q = |\mathscr{R}_0 f|_q. \tag{1.6}$$

(ii) For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with support contained in the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|P_- f|_p = |f|_p, \quad |\mathscr{R}_- P_- f|_q = |\mathscr{R}_0 f|_q. \tag{1.7}$$

The paper is organized as follows. Proposition 1 shows that $P_\pm$ intertwines the operators $\mathscr{R}_\pm$ with $\mathscr{R}_0$. Theorem 2 is then a direct consequence of Proposition 1, and of the bijectivity of P_+; we omit the proof. In contrast with the spherical case, P_- does not act on the full $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This will generate the non-existence result of Theorem 3, shown in §2, where we assume that Proposition 1 holds. The proof of (1.7) in Proposition 1 is postponed to §3. It relies on group-theoretic generators arguments and explicit computations. The proof of (1.6) is very similar and is omitted.

Aknowledgement. We thank M. Christ for bringing Drury's paper [Dru89] to our attention. This research was supported by the NSF grant DMS-1500852 and the Fondation CFM pour la recherche.



FIGURE 1. The spaces $\mathbb{S}^2$, $\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \equiv \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{-1\}$ seen as subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^3$. In blue (resp. green) we plot $x = p_-(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}^2$ (resp. $y = p_+(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$), which is the intersection of the line containing ζ (resp. ω) and 0 with the plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{-1\}$. Hence, p_- maps great hyperbola in $\mathbb{H}^2$ to lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and p_+ maps great circles in $\mathbb{S}^2$ to lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

2. Proof of Theorem 3.

We prove Theorem 3 assuming that Proposition 1 holds. In this section $\mathscr{M}_-^d = \mathbb{H}^d$.

Let P_- be the operator given by (1.5). Without loss of generalites, we can multiply the measure on $\mathbb{H}^d$ by a multiplicative constant so that $P_- : L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^p(\mathbb{H}^d)$ satisfies (1.7). Since p_- maps bijectively $\mathbb{B}^d$ to $\mathbb{H}^d$, the restriction of P_- to functions with support in the unit ball is a bijection. Hence, the norm of $\mathscr{R}_-$ must be at most equal to the norm of $\mathscr{R}_0$. For $\lambda > 0$, let h_λ be the extremizer of (1.2) given by

$$h_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{d/p} h_0(\lambda x), \quad h_0(x) = \langle x \rangle_+^{-k-1}.$$

It is clear that $|h_\lambda|_p$ does not depend on λ and that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} |h_\lambda|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d)} = 0.$$

Therefore, if $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}^d}$ denotes the characteristic function of the unit ball, then

$$A_- \geq \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \frac{|\mathscr{R}_- P_- \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}^d} h_\lambda|_q}{|P_- \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}^d} h_\lambda|_p} = \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \frac{|\mathscr{R}_0 \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}^d} h_\lambda|_q}{|\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}^d} h_\lambda|_p} \geq \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \frac{|\mathscr{R}_0 h_\lambda|_q - A_0 |h_\lambda|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d)}}{|h_\lambda|_p + |h_\lambda|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}^d)}} = A_0.$$

This shows $A_- = A_0$ and (1.3).

Assume now that an extremizer u exists for (1.3). Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with support in the unit ball be such that $P_- f = u$. In particular, $|P_- f|_p = |u|_p$ and $A = |\mathscr{R}_- u|_q = |\mathscr{R}_- P_- f|_q = |\mathscr{R}_0 f|_q$: f is an extremizer for $\mathscr{R}_0$, with support in the unit ball. Christ–Flock's uniqueness result recalled in Theorem 1 shows that f cannot be compactly supported, which is a contradiction.

3. Proof of Proposition 1.

As said earlier, we prove only (1.7) in Proposition 1 – which concerns the hyperbolic case $\nu = -$. Hence, in this section, $\mathbb{M}^d_\nu = \mathbb{H}^d$ and we drop all the subscripts "minus" to make the notations simpler.

3.1. **Preliminaries.** We first observe that p induces a bijection $\mathbb{H}^d \to \mathbb{B}^d$ and we define $p^{-1} : \mathbb{B}^d \to \mathbb{H}^d$ its inverse. For π a k-plane in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d(\pi)$ denotes the geodesic distance between π and the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $d(\pi) < 1$, the set $p^{-1}(\pi)$ is a k-plane in $\mathbb{H}^d$, as the intersection of $\mathbb{H}^d$ with a $k+1$-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ – see Figure 1. For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with support in the unit ball, [BCT97, Theorem 3.1] states that

$$(\mathscr{R}Pf)(p^{-1}(\pi)) = \langle\pi\rangle \mathscr{R}_0 f(\pi), \quad \langle\pi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{1 - d(\pi)^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

If O is an element of the Lorentz group $O(d,1)$, the composition operator with O commutes with $\mathscr{R}$: for $\xi \in \mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)$, $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{H}^d)$,

$$(\mathscr{R}u)(O\xi) = \mathscr{R}(u \circ O)(\xi). \tag{3.2}$$

The map p conjugates the group $O(d,1)$ (acting naturally on elements of $\mathbb{R}^d$) to the group $\mathscr{G} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} pO(d,1)p^{-1}$ (which acts naturally on elements of $\mathbb{B}^d$). In particular if $\Phi = pOp^{-1} \in \mathscr{G}$, equations (3.1) and (3.2) imply that

$$\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle \mathscr{R}_0 f(\Phi(\pi)) = \mathscr{R}Pf(Op^{-1}(\pi)) = (\mathscr{R}P(f \circ O))(p^{-1}(\pi)) = \langle\pi\rangle \mathscr{R}_0 \mathscr{S} f(\pi). \tag{3.3}$$

In the above, $\mathscr{S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} PO^*P^{-1}$ where O^* denotes the pull-back operator with O: $\mathscr{S}$ is the L^p-isometry induced by the pull-back operator with pOp^{-1}.

Let $d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)}$ be a non-zero measure on $\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)$ that is invariant under $O(d,1)$. If $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with support in the unit ball, the substitution $\xi = p^{-1}(\pi)$ shows that

$$|\mathscr{R}Pf|_q^q = \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)} |\mathscr{R}Pf(\xi)|^q d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)}(\xi) = \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathscr{R}Pf(p^{-1}(\pi))|^q d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)}(p^{-1}(\pi)).$$

Since f has support in $\mathbb{B}^d$, $\mathscr{R}_0 f(\pi) = 0$ when $d(\pi) \geq 1$. This together with (3.2) imply

$$|\mathscr{R}Pf|_q^q = \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle\pi\rangle^q |\mathscr{R}_0 f(\pi)|^q d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)}(p^{-1}(\pi)). \tag{3.4}$$

In order to prove Proposition 1, it suffices to show that the right hand side of (3.4) equals $|\mathscr{R}_0 f|_q^q$, modulo a multiplicative constant independent of f. From the point of view of measure theory, it is enough to show that $(p^{-1})^* d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)} = \langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, where $(p^{-1})^*$ is the pull-back by p^{-1}. Since $d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)}$ is the unique measure on $\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)$ that is invariant under $O(d,1)$, $(p^{-1})^* d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{H}^d)}$ is the unique measure on $\mathscr{E} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\pi \in \mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d), d(\pi) \leq 1\}$ that is invariant under $\mathscr{G}$ – modulo multiplicative constants. Therefore, to prove Proposition 1, it suffices to show that $\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is invariant under $\mathscr{G}$;

or equivalently, that for every $G \in C_0^\infty(\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with $\mathrm{supp}(G) \subset \mathscr{E}$, for every $\Phi \in \mathscr{G}$,

$$\int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} G(\pi)\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi) = \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} G(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi). \tag{3.5}$$

We define the group $\mathscr{O}(d)$ of $(d+1)\times(d+1)$ matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega \in O(d), \tag{3.6}$$

and the group $\mathscr{B}$ of $(d+1)\times(d+1)$ matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{d-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \ a^2 - b^2 = 1, \tag{3.7}$$

sometimes called boosts. Both $\mathscr{O}(d)$ and $\mathscr{B}$ are subgroups of $O(d,1)$ and we prove in the appendix that they generate $O(d,1)$ – a result that must be known to specialists. Hence, it suffices to prove that (3.5) holds when $\Phi \in p\mathscr{O}(d)p^{-1}$ and when $\Phi \in p\mathscr{B}p^{-1}$. Since $p\mathscr{O}(d)p^{-1} = O(d)$, (3.5) immediately holds for $\Phi \in p\mathscr{O}(d)p^{-1}$. It remains to check (3.5) for transformations $\Phi \in p\mathscr{B}p^{-1}$. We will verify it by performing explicit computations relying on Drury's identity [Dru84, Lemma 1]. This formula gives a fairly explicit description of $d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}$: after possibly multiplying $d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ by a multiplcative constant, for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d))$,

$$\int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathscr{R}_0 f(\pi)|^{k+1} F(\pi) d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} \frac{f(x_0)...f(x_k)F(\pi)}{\mathrm{Det}(x_0,...,x_k)^{d-k}} dx_0...dx_k. \tag{3.8}$$

Here, $\mathrm{Det}(x_0,...,x_k)$ denotes the k-volume of the k-simplex with vertices $x_0,...,x_k$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and π is the k-plane containing $x_0,...,x_k$ (this definition makes sense for almost every $x_0,...,x_k$).

3.2. **Jacobian computations.** We fix here $\Phi \in p\mathscr{B}p^{-1}$, and we denote by $J\Phi(x)$ the Jacobian of Φ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We show the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *(i) There exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a^2 - b^2 = 1$ such that*

$$\Phi(x) = \left(\frac{x'}{bx_d + a}, \frac{ax_d + b}{bx_d + a}\right), \qquad J\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{|bx_d + a|^{d+1}}. \tag{3.9}$$

(ii) If $\mathscr{S}$ is the operator of (3.3), then

$$\mathscr{S} f(x) = \frac{1}{|bx_d + a|^{k+1}} f \circ \Phi(x). \tag{3.10}$$

(iii) If $x_0,...,x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are contained in a unique k-plane π,

$$\frac{\langle\pi\rangle}{\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle} = \frac{|bx_{0d} + a| \cdot ... \cdot |bx_{kd} + a| \cdot \mathrm{Det}(\Phi(x_0),...,\Phi(x_k))}{\mathrm{Det}(x_0,...,x_k)}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. For (i): we have

$$\Phi(x) = p\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{d-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & -b & a \end{pmatrix} \frac{(-x,1)}{\langle x\rangle} = p\frac{(-x', -ax_d - b, bx_d + a)}{\langle x\rangle} = \left(\frac{x'}{bx_d+a}, \frac{ax_d+b}{bx_d+a}\right).$$

Therefore

$$J\Phi(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \dfrac{1}{bx_d+a}\mathrm{Id}_{d-1} & 0 \\ \star & \dfrac{d}{dx_d}\left(\dfrac{ax_d+b}{bx_d+a}\right) \end{vmatrix} = \left|\frac{1}{(bx_d+a)^{d-1}}\frac{a^2-b^2}{(bx_d+a)^2}\right| = \frac{1}{|bx_d+a|^{d+1}}.$$

The statement (ii) is a simple consequence of the fact that $\mathscr{S}$ is the L^p-isometry induced by the pull-back Φ^* and the form of $J\Phi(x)$ given by (i).

The proof of (iii) relies on ideas of [Dro14]; we believe that there is a more geometric proof. For $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 f : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 f(x_0, ..., x_k) = \int_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^k} f\left(x_0 + \lambda_0(x_1 - x_0) + ... + \lambda_k(x_k - x_0)\right) d\lambda.$$

This function is related to $\mathscr{R}_0 f$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{Det}(x_0, ..., x_k)\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 f(x_0, ..., x_k) = \mathscr{R}_0 f(\pi),$$

see [Dro14, (3.5)]. The identity (3.3) implies then

$$\frac{\langle\pi\rangle}{\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle} = \frac{\mathrm{Det}(\Phi(x_0), ..., \Phi(x_k))}{\mathrm{Det}(x_0, ..., x_k)} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 f(\Phi(x_0), ..., \Phi(x_k))}{\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 \mathscr{S} f(x_0, ..., x_k)}. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore (3.11) is a consequence of a formula relating the quantities $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0\mathscr{S} f(x_0, ..., x_k)$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 f(\Phi(x_0), ..., \Phi(x_k))$, that we shall prove below.

We start by writing $\delta x_k = x_k - x_0$ and $\alpha = x_0 + \lambda_1\delta x_1 + ... + \lambda_k\delta x_k$, so that

$$\Phi(x_0 + \lambda_1\delta x_1 + ... + \lambda_k\delta x_k) = \frac{\alpha' + (a\alpha_d + b)e_d}{b\alpha_d + a}. \tag{3.13}$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0\mathscr{S} f(x_0, ..., x_k) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \mathscr{S} f(x_0 + \lambda_1\delta x_1 + ... + \lambda_k\delta x_k) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f\left(\frac{\alpha' + (a\alpha_d + b)e_d}{b\alpha_d + a}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{|a\alpha_d + b|^{k+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

We make a first substitution $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}$ such that the argument $\frac{\alpha' + (a\alpha_d+b)e_d}{b\alpha_d+a}$ of f in (3.14) is linear in $\tilde{\lambda}$:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_j = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_j}{b\alpha_d + a} - \frac{ax_{0d} + b}{bx_{0d} + a},\ 1 \le j \le k-1, \quad \lambda_k = \frac{a\alpha_d + b}{b\alpha_d + a} - \frac{ax_{0d} + b}{bx_{0d} + a}.$$

A computation using that $a^2 - b^2 = 1$ shows that the argument $\frac{\alpha' + (a\alpha_d + b)e_d}{b\alpha_d + a}$ of f in (3.14) depends indeed linearly on $\tilde{\lambda}$:

$$\frac{\alpha' + (a\alpha_d + b)e_d}{b\alpha_d + a} = \Phi(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tilde{\lambda}_j \left(\delta x'_j + \frac{\delta x_{jd}}{\delta x_{kd}} \delta x'_k \right) + \tilde{\lambda}_k \left(e_d + \frac{b x_{0d} + a}{\delta x_{kd}} \delta x'_k - b x'_0 \right). \tag{3.15}$$

When λ spans $\mathbb{R}^k$, $x_0 + \lambda_1 \delta x_1 + ... + \lambda_k \delta x_k$ spans π and $\Phi(x_0 + \lambda_1 \delta x_1 + ... + \lambda_k \delta x_k)$ spans $\Phi(\pi)$. We deduce from (3.13) and (3.13) that $\frac{\alpha' + (a\alpha_d + b)e_d}{b\alpha_d + a}$ linearly spans $\Phi(\pi)$ when $\tilde{\lambda}$ spans $\mathbb{R}^k$. It is then possible to make a linear substitution $\tilde{\lambda} \mapsto \mu$ so that (3.15) becomes

$$\frac{\alpha' + (a\alpha_d + b)e_d}{b\alpha_d + a} = \Phi(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j (\Phi(x_j) - \Phi(x_0)). \tag{3.16}$$

To this end, we define A the $k \times k$ matrix such that $A f_i = e_i$, where e_i is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and

$$f_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{b x_{id} + a} e_i + \left(\frac{a x_{id} + b}{b x_{id} + a} - \frac{a x_{0d} + b}{b x_{0d} + a} \right) e_k, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq k-1,$$
$$f_k \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{a x_{kd} + b}{b x_{kd} + a} - \frac{a x_{0d} + b}{b x_{0d} + a} \right) e_k.$$

The substitution $\mu = A\tilde{\lambda}$ and a computation yields (3.16). The combination of (3.14) with (3.16) shows that

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 \mathscr{S} f(x_0, ..., x_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f \left(\Phi(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j (\Phi(x_j) - \Phi(x_0)) \right) \frac{|\det A^{-1}|}{\left| \det \partial \tilde{\lambda} / \partial \lambda \right|} \frac{d\mu}{|a\alpha_d + b|^{k+1}}. \tag{3.17}$$

To conclude we need to compute the Jacobian determinants $\det A^{-1}$ and $\det \partial \tilde{\lambda} / \partial \lambda$. Since A^{-1} is upper triangular, its determinant is the product of its diagonal elements:

$$\det A^{-1} = \frac{x_{kd} - x_{0d}}{(b x_{0d} + a) \cdot ... \cdot (b x_{kd} + a)}. \tag{3.18}$$

The same method as in [Dro14, Appendix] leads to

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \right) = \frac{x_{kd} - x_{0d}}{(b\alpha_d + a)^{k+1}}. \tag{3.19}$$

We plug (3.18) and (3.19) in (3.17) to obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 \mathscr{S} f\,(x_0, ..., x_k) = \frac{\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_0 f\,(\Phi(x_0), ..., \Phi(x_k))}{|b x_{0d} + a| \cdot ... \cdot |b x_{kd} + a|}.$$

This relation is now plugged in (3.12), showing (3.11). □

3.3. **Proof of Proposition 1.**

Proof of Proposition 1. Because of the discussion in §3.1, we fix $G \in C_0^\infty(\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with $\mathrm{supp}(G) \subset \mathscr{E}$ and $\Phi \in p\mathscr{B}p^{-1}$, and we show that (3.5) holds. Let f be a smooth radial nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}^d$, that is positive inside the (open) unit ball and vanishes outside the (open) unit ball. The function $\mathscr{R}_0 f$ has support in $\mathscr{E}$ and never vanishes on $\mathscr{E}$. This allows to define $F \overset{\text{def}}{=} |\mathscr{R}_0 f|^{-k-1} G$. Below we will always denote π the k-plane containing $x_0, ...x_k$. Applying successively (3.3), Drury's identity (3.8), and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} G(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi) &= \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathscr{R}_0 f(\Phi(\pi))|^{k+1} F(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi)\\ &= \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathscr{R}_0\mathscr{S} f(\pi)|^{k+1} \frac{F(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{k-d}}{\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle^{k+1}} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi)\\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} \mathscr{S} f(x_0)...\mathscr{S} f(x_k) \frac{F(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{k-d}}{\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle^{k+1}} \mathrm{Det}(x_0, ..., x_k)^{k-d} dx_0...dx_k\\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} f(\Phi(x_0))...f(\Phi(x_k)) \frac{F(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{k-d}}{\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle^{k+1}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Det}(x_0, ..., x_k)^{k-d} dx_0...dx_k}{(|bx_{0d}+a|\cdot ... \cdot |bx_{kd}+a|)^{k+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

We now apply (3.11) and we substitute $y_i = \Phi(x_i)$ with corresponding Jacobian factor given by (3.9) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} f(\Phi(x_0))...f(\Phi(x_k)) \frac{F(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{k-d}}{\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle^{k+1}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Det}(x_0, ..., x_k)^{k-d} dx_0...dx_k}{(|bx_{0d}+a|\cdot ... \cdot |bx_{kd}+a|)^{k+1}}\\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} f(\Phi(x_0))...f(\Phi(x_k)) \frac{F(\Phi(\pi))}{\langle\Phi(\pi)\rangle^{q}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Det}(\Phi(x_0), ..., \Phi(x_k))^{k-d} dx_0...dx_k}{(|bx_{0d}+a|\cdot ... \cdot |bx_{kd}+a|)^{q}}\\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^k} f(y_0)...f(y_k) \frac{F(\pi)}{\langle\pi\rangle^{-q}} \mathrm{Det}(y_0, ..., y_k)^{k-d} dy_0...dy_k.\end{aligned}$$

Another use of Drury's identity (3.8) finally leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} G(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi) &= \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathscr{R}_0 f(\Phi(\pi))|^{k+1} F(\Phi(\pi))\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi)\\ &= \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mathscr{R}_0 f(\pi)|^{k+1} F(\pi)\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k\mathbb{R}^d}(\pi) = \int_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} G(\pi)\langle\pi\rangle^{-q} d\mu_{\mathscr{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\pi).\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.5) and therefore Proposition 1. □

4. Appendix: a generator Lemma

Recall that $\mathscr{O}(d)$ is the subgroup of $O(d,1)$ given by matrices of the form (3.6) and $\mathscr{B}$ is the subgroup of $O(d,1)$ given by matrices of the form (3.7).

Lemma 4.1. *The group $O(d,1)$ is generated by $-\mathrm{Id}$, $\mathscr{O}(d)$ and $\mathscr{B}$.*

Proof. According to the Dieudonné–Cartan theorem [Ca67, pp 10], every element of $O(d,1)$ is the product of (at most $d+1$) reflections; we recall that reflections in $O(d,1)$ are transformations of the form

$$R_n : v \mapsto v - 2\frac{\langle v, n\rangle_-}{\langle n, n\rangle_-^2} n, \quad n \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad \langle x, y\rangle_- \overset{\text{def}}{=} x_1 y_1 + ... + x_d y_d - x_{d+1} y_{d+1}.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove that each reflection R_n can be written as the products of elements in $\mathscr{O}(d)$ and $\mathscr{B}$. We observe that if $L \in O(d,1)$ then

$$L^{-1} R_n L v = v - 2\frac{\langle Lv, n\rangle_-}{\langle n, n\rangle_-^2} L^{-1} n = v - 2\frac{\langle v, L^{-1} n\rangle_-}{\langle L^{-1} n, L^{-1} n\rangle_-^2} L^{-1} n = R_{L^{-1} n} v. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}(d)$ such that $\Omega n \in \{0\}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, and $B \in \mathscr{B}$ so that $B\Omega n = \langle n, n\rangle_- e_{d+1}$. The composition formula (4.1) shows that $R_n = B^{-1}\Omega^{-1} R_{e_{d+1}} \Omega B$. In addition, $R_{e_{d+1}}$ is the product of $-\mathrm{Id}$ with $-\mathrm{Id}_d \oplus \mathrm{Id}_1 \in \mathscr{O}(d)$; this shows that reflections are generated by $-\mathrm{Id}$, $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{O}(d)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

References

[BL97] Albert II Baernstein and Michael Loss, *Some conjectures about L^p norms of k-plane transforms.* Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **67**(1997), 9-26.

[BD15] Valeria Banica and Thomas Duyckaerts, *Global existence, scattering and blow-up for the focusing NLS on the hyperbolic space.* Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **12**(2015), no. 1, 53-96.

[BCT97] Carlos A. Berenstein, Tarabusi Casadio-Tarabusi and Árpád Kurusa, *Radon transform on spaces of constant curvature.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125**(1997), no. 2, 455-461.

[BR04] Carlos A. Berenstein and Boris Rubin, *Totally geodesic Radon transform of L^p-functions on real hyperbolic space.* Fourier analysis and convexity, 37-58, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., 2004.

[Ca67] Élie Cartan, *The theory of spinors.* The M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass. 1967.

[Che16] Ting Chen, *On a geometric inequality related to fractional integration.* Preprint, arXiv:1606.05206.

[Chr84] Michael Christ, *Estimates for the k-plane transform.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33**(1984), no. 6, 891-910.

[Chr11a] Michael Christ, *Quasiextremals for a Radon-like transform.* Preprint, arXiv:1106.0722.

[Chr11b] Michael Christ, *On extremals for a Radon-like transform.* Preprint, arXiv:1106.0728.

[Chr14] Michael Christ, *Extremizers of a Radon transform inequality.* Advances in analysis: the legacy of Elias M. Stein, 84-107, Princeton Math. Series, 2014.

[Dro14] Alexis Drouot, *Sharp constant for a k-plane transform inequality.* Anal. PDE **7**(2014), no. 6, 1237-1252.

[Dro15] Alexis Drouot, *Quantitative form of certain k-plane transform inequalities.* J. Funct. Anal. **268**(2015), no. 5, 1241-1276.

[Dru84] Stephen W. Drury, *Generalizations of Riesz potentials and Lp estimates for certain k-plane transforms.* Illinois J. Math. **28**(1984), no. 3, 495-512.

[Dru89] Stephen W. Drury, *L^p estimates for certain generalizations of k-plane transforms.* Illinois J. Math. **33**(1989), no. 3, 367-374.

[Fl16] Taryn C. Flock, *Uniqueness of extremizers for an endpoint inequality of the k-plane transform.* J. Geom. Anal. **26**(2016), no. 1, 570-602.

[He84] Sigurdur Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis. Integral geometry, invariant differential operators, and spherical functions.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 113, 1984.

[Li13] Genqian Liu, *Sharp higher-order Sobolev inequalities in the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$.* Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **47**(2013), no. 3-4, 567-588.

[Qu08] Eric T. Quinto, *Helgason's support theorem and spherical Radon transforms.* Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets. Contemp. Math. **464**(2008), 249-264.

[Ru02] Boris Rubin, *Inversion formulas for the spherical Radon transform and the generalized cosine transform.* Adv. in Appl. Math. **29**(2002), no. 3, 471-497.

E-mail address: alexis.drouot@gmail.com